

Table of Contents

APPENDIX A Legal Notices.....	A-1
A.1 Notice of Intent to Prepare a Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement on Rock Mining in Wetlands in the Lake Belt Region of Miami-Dade county, FL	A-1
A.2 Notice of Availability of the <i>Draft Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement on Rock Mining in the Lake Belt Region of Miami-Dade County, Florida</i> FL	A-3
A.3 Notice of Public Meeting on the <i>Draft Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement on Rock Mining in the Lake Belt Region of Miami-Dade County, Florida</i>	A-4
A.4 Notice Requesting Review and comment on “Proposed Mitigation for changes to Groundwater Flow Attributed to Limestone Mining in the Lake Belt”	A-5
APPENDIX B Lake Belt Phase II Plan.....	B-1
APPENDIX C Lake Belt Groundwater Flow Modeling	C-1
C.1 Introduction.....	C-1
C.2 History	C-1
C.3 Model Calibration	C-7
C.4 Hydraulic Conductivity Analysis	C-7
C.4.1 Hydraulic Conductivity Evaluation.....	C-7
C.4.1.1 Overview of USGS Interpretations from Core Examinations.....	C-8
C.4.1.2 Hydraulic Conductivity Distribution	C-13
C.4.1.3 Qualitative Comparison of Hydraulic Conductivity Distribution	C-13
C.4.2 Evaluation of Effective Porosity	C-18
C.5 Model Modification for the Current SEIS Study.....	C-20
C.5.1 Model Modifications for the Draft SEIS	C-20
C.5.2 Model Modifications for the Final SEIS	C-21
C.6 Model Verification	C-22
C.7 Seepage Specific Evaluations (Final SEIS)	C-26
C.7.1 Evaluation of Wellfield Pumpage Change	C-26
C.7.2 Evaluation of Rivers vs. Drains Designation.....	C-26
C.7.2.1 Revision of Canal Stage Fluctuations.....	C-26
C.7.2.2 Seasonal Alteration of Drains vs. River Designations	C-27
C.7.2.3 Comparison of Drain vs. River Designation.....	C-27
C.7.3 Evaluation of Particle Travel Time	C-29
C.7.4 Evaluation of Impacts to CERP along L-30 Canal	C-29
C.7.4.1 Initial Modeling Approach	C-29
C.7.4.2 Revised Modeling Approach.....	C-30
C.7.5 Sensitivity Analysis of Hydraulic Conductivity.....	C-31
C.7.6 Sensitivity Analysis of Variation in Evapotranspiration and Evaporation.....	C-32
C.8 Model Application	C-33
C.8.1 Seepage Analysis	C-33
C.8.2 Impact on Northwest Wellfield	C-38
C.8.3 Hydroperiod Analysis	C-38
C.8.4 Saltwater Intrusion and Water Budget Analysis.....	C-42
C.8.5 Mitigation Effectiveness Analysis.....	C-42
C.8.5.1 Seepage Mitigation Analysis of Proposed Seepage Mitigation Plan 3 – Construction and Operation of a Dade/Broward Levee Recharge Canal.....	C-44
C.8.6 Cumulative Seepage Impact Analysis	C-47

C.9	Model Assumptions/Limitations and Applicability.....	C-52
C.9.1	Constraining Limitations/Assumptions.....	C-52
C.9.2	Usefulness of the Model.....	C-53
C.10	References.....	C-54
APPENDIX D U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Biological Opinion.....		D-1
APPENDIX E Permit.....		E-1
APPENDIX F Florida Coastal Zone Management Program Federal Consistency Determination ...		F-1
F.1	Chapter 161, Beach and Shore Preservation.....	F-1
F.2	Chapters 186 and 187, State Regional Planning.....	F-1
F.3	Chapter 252, Disaster Preparation, Response, and Mitigation.....	F-1
F.4	Chapter 253, State Lands.....	F-1
F.5	Chapters 253, 259, 260, and 375, Land Acquisition.....	F-2
F.6	Chapter 258, State Parks and Aquatic Preserves.....	F-2
F.7	Chapter 267, Historic Preservation.....	F-2
F.8	Chapter 288, Economic Development and Tourism.....	F-2
F.9	Chapters 334 and 339, Public Transportation.....	F-2
F.10	Chapter 370, Saltwater Living Resources.....	F-2
F.11	Chapter 372, Living Land and Freshwater Resources.....	F-3
F.12	Chapter 373, Water Resources.....	F-3
F.13	Chapter 376, Pollutant Spill Prevention and Control.....	F-3
F.14	Chapter 377, Oil and Gas Exploration and Production.....	F-3
F.15	Chapter 380, Environmental Land and Water Management.....	F-3
F.16	Chapter 388, Arthropod Control.....	F-4
F.17	Chapter 403, Environmental Control.....	F-4
F.18	Chapter 582, Soil and Water Conservation.....	F-4
APPENDIX G Comment/Response Document.....		G-1
G.1	Overview of Comments Received on the <i>Draft Lake Belt Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement</i>	G-1
G.1.1	Purpose and Need.....	G-1
G.1.2	Alternatives.....	G-2
G.1.3	Wildlife.....	G-3
G.1.4	Seepage.....	G-5
G.1.5	Water Quality.....	G-8
G.1.6	Groundwater Travel Time.....	G-14
G.1.7	Socioeconomics.....	G-18
G.1.8	Cultural Resources.....	G-22
G.1.9	Comprehensive Everglades Restoration Plan.....	G-23
G.1.10	Mitigation Plan.....	G-24
G.1.11	Other.....	G-30
G.2	Overview of Comments Received on the Miners Proposed Seepage Mitigation Plan	G-32
G.3	Comment Documents.....	G-46
APPENDIX H Seepage Mitigation Plans for Lake Belt Mining.....		H-1

APPENDIX I Potential Wood Stork Impacts Related to Changes in Short-Hydroperiod Wetlands		
	Within the Lake Belt	I-1
I.1	Potential Impacts on Short-Hydroperiod Wetlands and Wood Storks	I-1
I.1.1	Potential Impacts of Short-Hydroperiod Wetlands on Wood Storks Under Alternative 1	I-4
I.1.2	Potential Impacts of Short-Hydroperiod Wetlands on Wood Storks Under Alternative 2	I-5
I.1.3	Potential Impacts of Short-Hydroperiod Wetlands on Wood Storks Under Alternative 3	I-6
I.1.4	Potential Impacts of Short-Hydroperiod Wetlands on Wood Storks Under Alternative 4	I-8
I.1.5	Potential Impacts of Short-Hydroperiod Wetlands on Wood Storks Under Alternative 5	I-9
I.1.6	Potential Impacts of Short-Hydroperiod Wetlands on Wood Storks Under Alternative 6	I-10
I.1.7	Potential Impacts of Short-Hydroperiod Wetlands on Wood Storks Under Alternative 7	I-11
I.1.8	Potential Impacts of Short-Hydroperiod Wetlands on Wood Storks Under Alternative 8	I-12
I.1.9	Potential Impacts of Short-Hydroperiod Wetlands on Wood Storks Under Alternative 9	I-14
I.2	Potential Impacts of Mitigation on Short-Hydroperiod Wetlands and Wood Storks	I-15
I.2.1	Potential Impacts of Short-Hydroperiod Wetlands on Wood Storks Under Alternative 1 with Mitigation	I-15
I.2.2	Potential Impacts of Short-Hydroperiod Wetlands on Wood Storks Under Alternative 2 with Mitigation	I-17
I.2.3	Potential Impacts of Short-Hydroperiod Wetlands on Wood Storks Under Alternative 3 with Mitigation	I-18
I.2.4	Potential Impacts of Short-Hydroperiod Wetlands on Wood Storks Under Alternative 4 with Mitigation	I-20
I.2.5	Potential Impacts of Short-Hydroperiod Wetlands on Wood Storks Under Alternative 5 with Mitigation	I-21
I.2.6	Potential Impacts of Short-Hydroperiod Wetlands on Wood Storks Under Alternative 6 with Mitigation	I-23
I.2.7	Potential Impacts of Short-Hydroperiod Wetlands on Wood Storks Under Alternative 7 with Mitigation	I-24
I.2.8	Potential Impacts of Short-Hydroperiod Wetlands on Wood Storks Under Alternative 8 with Mitigation	I-26
I.2.8.1	Potential Impacts of Short-Hydroperiod Wetlands on Wood Storks Under Alternative 8 with Mitigation, Including the Dade-Broward Levee Recharge Canal with Water Pumped from a Lake North of the Northwest Wellfield Recharge Canal.....	I-26
I.2.8.2	Potential Impacts of Short-Hydroperiod Wetlands on Wood Storks Under Alternative 8 with Mitigation, Including the Dade-Broward Levee Recharge Canal with Water Pumped from a Lake South of the Northwest Wellfield Recharge Canal.....	I-27
I.2.8.3	Potential Impacts of Short-Hydroperiod Wetlands on Wood Storks Under Alternative 8 with Mitigation, Including Exclusion of Mining in an Area North of the Northwest Wellfield Recharge Canal	I-29
I.2.8.4	Potential Impacts of Short-Hydroperiod Wetlands on Wood Storks Under Alternative 8 with Mitigation, Including Exclusion of Mining in an Area North of the Wellfield Protection Canal with Water Pumped from a Lake South of the Northwest Wellfield Recharge Canal.....	I-31

I.2.9	Potential Impacts of Short-Hydroperiod Wetlands on Wood Storks Under Alternative 9 with Mitigation	I-33
I.3	References	I-34

APPENDIX J Relevant Sections of the Code of Miami-Dade County, Florida, with Respect to Protection of the Northwest Wellfield J-1

List of Figures

Note: These page numbers are within Attachment A of Appendix C.

Figure C-1.	Lake Belt Groundwater Flow Model Boundary and the Area Covered by the Model.....	C-1
Figure C-2.	Active/Inactive Domain in Bottom-most (Layer 7) of the Groundwater Model	C-2
Figure C-3.	Active/Inactive Domain in Top-Most (Layer 1) of the Groundwater Model	C-2
Figure C-4.	Horizontal Discretization of Model Domain	C-3
Figure C-5.	Vertical Discretization of Model Domain.....	C-3
Figure C-6.	Canals Included Within the Model Boundary	C-4
Figure C-7.	Extraction Wells Included in the Model	C-5
Figure C-8a.	Hydraulic Conductivity of Layer 2	C-6
Figure C-8b.	Hydraulic Conductivity of Layer 3	C-6
Figure C-8c.	Hydraulic Conductivity of Layer 4	C-7
Figure C-8d.	Hydraulic Conductivity of Layer 5	C-7
Figure C-8e.	Hydraulic Conductivity of Layer 6	C-8
Figure C-8f.	Hydraulic Conductivity of Layer 7	C-8
Figure C-9.	Monitoring Wells for Lake Belt Groundwater Flow Model Verification	C-9
Figure C-10.	Draft SEIS versus Final SEIS Stage Values from Model Cells along the L-30 Canal..	C-10
Figure C-11.	<i>Lake Belt SEIS</i> Estimated Travel Time Areas.....	C-11
Figure C-12.	Re-positioned General Head Boundary along the L-30 Canal for CERP 2050 Model Runs.....	C-12
Figure C-13.	Modeled General Head Boundary for Hydroperiod Analysis with CERP	C-13
Figure C-14.	Transects Used Lake Belt Seepage Analysis	C-14
Figure C-15a.	Predicted Potentiometric Surface in Layer 4 for Baseline Under Dry Conditions	C-15
Figure C-15b.	Predicted Potentiometric Surface in Layer 4 for Alternative 2 Under Dry Conditions..	C-16
Figure C-15c.	Predicted Potentiometric Surface in Layer 4 for Alternative 3 Under Dry Conditions..	C-17
Figure C-15d.	Predicted Potentiometric Surface in Layer 4 for Alternative 4 Under Dry Conditions..	C-18
Figure C-15e.	Predicted Potentiometric Surface in Layer 4 for Alternative 5 Under Dry Conditions..	C-19
Figure C-15f.	Predicted Potentiometric Surface in Layer 4 for Alternative 6 Under Dry Conditions..	C-20
Figure C-15g.	Predicted Potentiometric Surface in Layer 4 for Alternative 7 Under Dry Conditions..	C-21
Figure C-15h.	Predicted Potentiometric Surface in Layer 4 for Alternative 8 Under Dry Conditions..	C-22
Figure C-15i.	Predicted Potentiometric Surface in Layer 4 for Alternative 9 Under Dry Conditions...	C-23
Figure C-16a.	Predicted Darcy Velocity in Layer 4 for the Baseline Under Dry Conditions.....	C-24
Figure C-16b.	Predicted Darcy Velocity in Layer 4 for the Alternative 2 Under Dry Conditions	C-25
Figure C-16c.	Predicted Darcy Velocity in Layer 4 for the Alternative 3 Under Dry Conditions	C-26
Figure C-16d.	Predicted Darcy Velocity in Layer 4 for the Alternative 4 Under Dry Conditions	C-27
Figure C-16e.	Predicted Darcy Velocity in Layer 4 for the Alternative 5 Under Dry Conditions	C-28
Figure C-16f.	Predicted Darcy Velocity in Layer 4 for the Alternative 6 Under Dry Conditions	C-29
Figure C-16g.	Predicted Darcy Velocity in Layer 4 for the Alternative 7 Under Dry Conditions	C-30
Figure C-16h.	Predicted Darcy Velocity in Layer 4 for the Alternative 8 Under Dry Conditions	C-31
Figure C-16i.	Predicted Darcy Velocity in Layer 4 for the Alternative 9 Under Dry Conditions	C-32
Figure C-17.	Predicted Hydroperiods for the Baseline Under Average Conditions	C-33
Figure C-18.	Predicted Hydroperiods for Alternative 2 Under Average Conditions	C-34
Figure C-19.	Predicted Hydroperiod Difference between Alternative 2 Under Average Conditions and the Baseline	C-35
Figure C-20.	Predicted Hydroperiods for Alternative 3 Under Average Conditions	C-36

Figure C–21.	Predicted Hydroperiod Difference between Alternative 3 Under Average Conditions and the Baseline	C–37
Figure C–22.	Predicted Hydroperiods for Alternative 4 Under Average Conditions	C–38
Figure C–23.	Predicted Hydroperiod Difference between Alternative 4 Under Average Conditions and the Baseline	C–39
Figure C–24.	Predicted Hydroperiods for Alternative 5 Under Average Conditions	C–40
Figure C–25.	Predicted Hydroperiod Difference between Alternative 5 Under Average Conditions and the Baseline	C–41
Figure C–26.	Predicted Hydroperiods for Alternative 6 Under Average Conditions	C–42
Figure C–27.	Predicted Hydroperiod Difference between Alternative 6 Under Average Conditions and the Baseline	C–43
Figure C–28.	Predicted Hydroperiods for Alternative 7 Under Average Conditions	C–44
Figure C–29.	Predicted Hydroperiod Difference between Alternative 7 Under Average Conditions and the Baseline	C–45
Figure C–30.	Predicted Hydroperiods for Alternative 8 Under Average Conditions	C–46
Figure C–31.	Predicted Hydroperiod Difference between Alternative 8 Under Average Conditions and the Baseline	C–47
Figure C–32.	Predicted Hydroperiods for Alternative 9 Under Average Conditions	C–48
Figure C–33.	Predicted Hydroperiod Difference between Alternative 9 Under Average Conditions and the Baseline	C–49
Figure C–34.	Proposed Dade-Broward Levee Recharge Canal With Water from Lake 38	C–50
Figure C–35.	Proposed Dade-Broward Levee Recharge Canal With Water from Lake 39	C–51
Figure C–36.	Alternative 8 With Additional Exclusion Area Being Considered South of the Miami Canal	C–52
Figure C–37.	Predicted Hydroperiods for Alternative 8 Under Average Conditions with the Proposed Dade-Broward Levee Recharge Canal with Water being Fed from a Lake North of the NWWF Recharge Canal	C–53
Figure C–38.	Predicted Hydroperiod Difference between Alternative 8 Under Average Conditions with the Proposed Dade-Broward Levee Recharge Canal with Water being Fed from a Lake North of the NWWF Recharge Canal and the Baseline	C–54
Figure C–39.	Predicted Hydroperiods for Alternative 8 Under Average Conditions with the Proposed Dade-Broward Levee Recharge Canal with Water being Fed from a Lake South of the NWWF Recharge Canal	C–55
Figure C–40.	Predicted Hydroperiod Difference between Alternative 8 Under Average Conditions with the Proposed Dade-Broward Levee Recharge Canal with Water being Fed from a Lake South of the NWWF Recharge Canal and the Baseline	C–56
Figure C–41.	Predicted Hydroperiods for Alternative 8 Under Average Conditions with an Additional Exclusion Area South of the Miami Canal	C–57
Figure C–42.	Predicted Hydroperiod Difference between Alternative 8 Under Average Conditions with an Additional Exclusion Area South of the Miami Canal and the Baseline	C–58
Figure C–43.	Predicted Hydroperiods for Alternative 8 Under Average Conditions with an Additional Exclusion Area South of the Miami Canal and the Proposed Dade-Broward Levee Recharge Canal with Water being Fed from a Lake South of the NWWF Recharge Canal	C–59
Figure C–44.	Predicted Hydroperiod Difference between Alternative 8 Under Average Conditions with an Additional Exclusion Area South of the Miami Canal and the Proposed Dade-Broward Levee Recharge Canal with Water being Fed from a Lake South of the NWWF Recharge Canal and the Baseline	C–60
Figure C–45.	Predicted Hydroperiod Difference between Alternative 8 Under Average Conditions with an Additional Exclusion Area South of the Miami Canal and the Proposed Dade-Broward Levee Recharge Canal with Water being Fed from a Lake South of the NWWF Recharge Canal and Alternative 8 Without Mitigation	C–61

List of Tables

Table C-1.	MODFLOW Packages Used in the Lower East Coast Subregional Models	C-4
Table C-2.	Hydrogeologic Properties Used in the Lake Belt Groundwater Flow Model	C-6
Table C-3.	Summary of USGS Conceptual Hydrogeologic Model Layers and Pore Classes	C-10
Table C-4.	Distribution of Lake Belt Groundwater Flow Model Hydraulic Conductivity per Model Layer	C-14
Table C-5.	Qualitative Comparison of Hydraulic Conductivity for Lake Belt Groundwater Flow Model Versus Pore Class Distribution	C-15
Table C-6.	Porosity Values as Measured in Lake Belt Area Rock Cores and Wells	C-19
Table C-7.	Description of Groundwater Simulations	C-23
Table C-8.	Monitoring Wells for Lake Belt Groundwater Flow Model Verification	C-23
Table C-9.	Summary Statistics for the Lake Belt Groundwater Flow Model Verification	C-24
Table C-10.	Residual Statistics for Individual Monitoring Wells for the Lake Belt Groundwater Model Verification	C-24
Table C-11.	Seepage Reduction Along L-30 Canal Due to Wellfield Pumpage Change	C-26
Table C-12.	Comparison of Model-Predicted Average Seepage Results for Model Runs with River and Drain Designation.....	C-28
Table C-13.	Predicted 7-year Average Seepage Rates from Different Sections for CERP 2050 Conditions.....	C-30
Table C-14.	Average Hydroperiod for WCA-3 and ENP within the Lake Belt Groundwater Flow Model.....	C-31
Table C-15.	Sensitivity Analysis Results: High Conductivity-Zone in Model Layer 5 Under Average Hydrologic Conditions	C-32
Table C-16.	Sensitivity Analysis Results: Varying Evapotranspiration and Evaporation Rates Under Average Hydrologic Conditions	C-33
Table C-17.	Predicted 7-year Average Seepage Rates for the Proposed Mining Alternatives, Without Mitigation	C-35
Table C-18.	Predicted Dry Period Average Seepage Rates for the Proposed Mining Alternatives, Without Mitigation	C-36
Table C-19.	Predicted Wet Period Average Seepage Rates for the Proposed Mining Alternatives, Without Mitigation	C-37
Table C-20.	Hydroperiod Classes	C-39
Table C-21.	Average Hydroperiod for Wetlands within the Lake Belt, Without Mitigation	C-40
Table C-22.	Average Hydroperiod for Wetlands Within the Lake Belt Groundwater Flow Model and Outside the Lake Belt, Without Mitigation.....	C-41
Table C-23.	Predicted Seepage to East through the Saltwater Intrusion Line Across Lake Belt Area	C-42
Table C-24.	Comparison of Predicted Recharge to the Primary Canals Flowing Into Biscayne Bay After Passing Through the Lake Belt Without Seepage Mitigation	C-42
Table C-25.	Predicted 7-Year Average Seepage Rates from Different Sections for Proposed Seepage Mitigation Plans.....	C-43
Table C-26.	Predicted 7-year Average Seepage Rates for Alternative 8 with Different Seepage Mitigation Scenarios Involving Construction and Operation of the Proposed Dade- Broward Levee Recharge Canal	C-45
Table C-27.	Predicted Dry Period Average Seepage Rates for Alternative 8 with Different Seepage Mitigation Scenarios Involving Construction and Operation of the Proposed Dade-Broward Levee Recharge Canal	C-45
Table C-28.	Predicted Wet Period Average Seepage Rates for Alternative 8 with Different Seepage Mitigation Scenarios Involving Construction and Operation of the Proposed Dade-Broward Levee Recharge Canal	C-46
Table C-29.	Hydroperiod Allocations for Wetlands Within the Lake Belt Area Under Average Hydrologic Conditions with the Proposed Dade-Broward Levee Recharge Canal	C-48
Table C-30.	Cumulative Impact Analysis: Predicted 7-Year Average Seepage Rates Including Additional Lakes Proposed in the Vicinity of the Lake Belt	C-49

Table of Contents

Table C–31.	Cumulative Impact Analysis: Predicted Dry Period Average Seepage Rates Including Additional Lakes Proposed In the Vicinity of the Lake Belt.....	C–50
Table C–32.	Cumulative Impact Analysis: Predicted Wet Period Average Seepage Rates Including Additional Lakes Proposed In the Vicinity of the Lake Belt.....	C–51
Table I–1.	All Birds Suitability Index	I–1
Table I–2.	Wading Birds Suitability Index	I–2
Table I–3.	Wood Stork Foraging Suitability Weighting Factor for Lake Belt Area Cover Types	I–2
Table I–4.	Fish Productivity for South Florida Wetland Hydroperiod Classes.....	I–3
Table I–5.	Baseline Short-Hydroperiod Wetlands Within the Lake Belt.....	I–3
Table I–6.	Estimated Biomass Production for Mining Area Within the Lake Belt.....	I–4
Table I–7.	Short-Hydroperiod Wetlands Within the Lake Belt After Proposed Mining Without Mitigation – Alternative 1	I–4
Table I–8.	Summary of Changes in Short-Hydroperiod Wetlands and Wood Stork Impacts Under Alternative 1	I–5
Table I–9.	Short-Hydroperiod Wetlands Within the Lake Belt After Proposed Mining Without Mitigation – Alternative 2	I–6
Table I–10.	Summary of Changes in Short-Hydroperiod Wetlands and Wood Stork Impacts Under Alternative 2.....	I–6
Table I–11.	Short-Hydroperiod Wetlands Within the Lake Belt After Proposed Mining Without Mitigation – Alternative 3	I–7
Table I–12.	Summary of Changes in Short-Hydroperiod Wetlands and Wood Stork Impacts Under Alternative 3.....	I–7
Table I–13.	Short-Hydroperiod Wetlands Within the Lake Belt After Proposed Mining Without Mitigation – Alternative 4	I–8
Table I–14.	Summary of Changes in Short-Hydroperiod Wetlands and Wood Stork Impacts Under Alternative 4.....	I–9
Table I–15.	Short-Hydroperiod Wetlands Within the Lake Belt After Proposed Mining Without Mitigation – Alternative 5	I–9
Table I–16.	Summary of Changes in Short-Hydroperiod Wetlands and Wood Stork Impacts Under Alternative 5.....	I–10
Table I–17.	Short-Hydroperiod Wetlands Within the Lake Belt After Proposed Mining Without Mitigation – Alternative 6	I–10
Table I–18.	Summary of Changes in Short-Hydroperiod Wetlands and Wood Stork Impacts Under Alternative 6.....	I–11
Table I–19.	Short-Hydroperiod Wetlands Within the Lake Belt After Proposed Mining Without Mitigation – Alternative 7	I–12
Table I–20.	Summary of Changes in Short-Hydroperiod Wetlands and Wood Stork Impacts Under Alternative 7.....	I–12
Table I–21.	Short-Hydroperiod Wetlands Within the Lake Belt After Proposed Mining Without Mitigation – Alternative 8	I–13
Table I–22.	Summary of Changes in Short-Hydroperiod Wetlands and Wood Stork Impacts Under Alternative 8.....	I–13
Table I–23.	Short-Hydroperiod Wetlands Within the Lake Belt After Proposed Mining Without Mitigation – Alternative 9	I–14
Table I–24.	Summary of Changes in Short-Hydroperiod Wetlands and Wood Stork Impacts Under Alternative 9.....	I–15
Table I–25.	Short-Hydroperiod Wetlands Within the Lake Belt After Proposed Mining with Mitigation – Alternative 1	I–16
Table I–26.	Summary of Changes in Short-Hydroperiod Wetlands and Wood Stork Impacts Under Alternative 1 with Mitigation.....	I–16
Table I–27.	Short-Hydroperiod Wetlands Within the Lake Belt After Proposed Mining with Mitigation – Alternative 2	I–17
Table I–28.	Summary of Changes in Short-Hydroperiod Wetlands and Wood Stork Impacts Under Alternative 2 with Mitigation.....	I–18

Table I-29.	Short-Hydroperiod Wetlands Within the Lake Belt After Proposed Mining with Mitigation – Alternative 3	I-19
Table I-30.	Summary of Changes in Short-Hydroperiod Wetlands and Wood Stork Impacts Under Alternative 3 with Mitigation	I-19
Table I-31.	Short-Hydroperiod Wetlands Within the Lake Belt After Proposed Mining with Mitigation – Alternative 4	I-20
Table I-32.	Summary of Changes in Short-Hydroperiod Wetlands and Wood Stork Impacts Under Alternative 4 with Mitigation	I-21
Table I-33.	Short-Hydroperiod Wetlands Within the Lake Belt After Proposed Mining with Mitigation – Alternative 5	I-22
Table I-34.	Summary of Changes in Short-Hydroperiod Wetlands and Wood Stork Impacts Under Alternative 5 with Mitigation	I-22
Table I-35.	Short-Hydroperiod Wetlands Within the Lake Belt After Proposed Mining with Mitigation – Alternative 6	I-23
Table I-36.	Summary of Changes in Short-Hydroperiod Wetlands and Wood Stork Impacts Under Alternative 6 with Mitigation	I-24
Table I-37.	Short-Hydroperiod Wetlands Within the Lake Belt After Proposed Mining with Mitigation – Alternative 7	I-25
Table I-38.	Summary of Changes in Short-Hydroperiod Wetlands and Wood Stork Impacts Under Alternative 7 with Mitigation	I-25
Table I-39.	Short-Hydroperiod Wetlands Within the Lake Belt After Proposed Mining with Mitigation – Alternative 8	I-26
Table I-40.	Summary of Changes in Short-Hydroperiod Wetlands and Wood Stork Impacts Under Alternative 8 with Mitigation	I-27
Table I-41.	Short-Hydroperiod Wetlands Within the Lake Belt After Proposed Mining with Mitigation – Alternative 8	I-28
Table I-42.	Summary of Changes in Short-Hydroperiod Wetlands and Wood Stork Impacts Under Alternative 8 with Mitigation	I-29
Table I-43.	Short-Hydroperiod Wetlands Within the Lake Belt After Proposed Mining with Mitigation – Alternative 8	I-30
Table I-44.	Summary of Changes in Short-Hydroperiod Wetlands and Wood Stork Impacts Under Alternative 8 with Mitigation	I-30
Table I-45.	Short-Hydroperiod Wetlands Within the Lake Belt After Proposed Mining with Mitigation – Alternative 8	I-31
Table I-46.	Summary of Changes in Short-Hydroperiod Wetlands and Wood Stork Impacts Under Alternative 8 with Mitigation	I-32
Table I-47.	Short-Hydroperiod Wetlands Within the Lake Belt After Proposed Mining with Mitigation – Alternative 9	I-33
Table I-48.	Summary of Changes in Short-Hydroperiod Wetlands and Wood Stork Impacts Under Alternative 9 with Mitigation	I-34

List of Acronyms

AFSIRS	Agricultural Field-Scale Irrigation Requirements Simulation
CERP	<i>Comprehensive Everglades Restoration Plan</i>
CFP	conduit flow process
DBLR	Dade-Broward Levee Recharge
DERM	Miami-Dade County Department of Environmental Resources Management
ENP	Everglades National Park
FDEP	Florida State Department of Environmental Protection
<i>Final Lakebelt PEIS</i>	<i>Final Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement, Rock Mining – Freshwater Lakebelt Plan, Miami-Dade County, Florida</i>
FPL	Florida Power and Light Company
FWS	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
GHB	general head boundary
K	hydraulic conductivity
<i>Lake Belt SEIS</i>	<i>Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement on Rock Mining in the Lake Belt Region of Miami-Dade County, Florida</i>
MODFLOW	Modular Three-Dimensional Finite-Difference Groundwater Flow Model
NGVD	National Geodetic Vertical Datum
NWWF	Northwest Wellfield
SAS	surficial aquifer system
SCE	Snapper Creek Extension
SFWMD	South Florida Water Management District
SFWMM	South Florida Water Management Model
USACE	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
USGS	U.S. Geological Survey
WCA	Water Conservation Area